

Article



1

2

3

4

5

6

14

32

33

Anti-inflammatory and Pain-relieving Effects of Arnica Extract Hydrogel Patch in Carrageenan-Induced Inflammation and Hot **Plate Pain Models**

Sang Gil Lee¹, Eun Byul Lee¹, Tack Soo Nam², Sunho You², Dahye Im², Kyusun Kim², Bonseung Gu², Ga-young Nam², Hyerim Lee², Soon Jae Kwon³, Yun Seok Kim⁴ and Sang Geon Kim^{*,3}

¹ Center of Research and Development, A Pharma Inc, Goyang-si, Gyeonggi-do, 10326, Republic of Korea
² R&D Center, Wooshin Labottach, Digital-ro 288, Guro-gu, Seoul, 08390, Republic of Korea
³ College of Pharmacy and Integrated Research Institute for Drug Development, Dongguk University-Seoul, Goyang-si,
Kyeonggi-do 10326, Republic of Korea
⁴ Department of Clinical Pharmacology and Therapeutics, College of Medicine, Seoul National University, Kwanak-gu,
Seoul, 03080, Republic of Korea ⁴
* Correspondence sgkim@dongguk.edu; Tel: +8231-961-5218; Fax: +8231-961-5206
Abstract: Arnica montana (AM), which belongs to the daisy family Asteraceae, has a longstanding

ıg traditional use in Europe and North America for pain and inflammation treatment. This study in-15 vestigates the inhibitory effects of 'Arnica montana extract hydrogel patch (AHP)' on carrageenan-16 induced paw edema and hot plate-induced pain models. AHP exhibited transdermal permeability 17 without the occurrence of issues like crystal precipitation. This study employed two animal model 18 assessments using AHP, in comparison with Arnica Gel (AG), to evaluate anti-inflammatory and 19 pain relief effects. AHP treatment for 2 days showed a decrease in paw edema thickness in mice as 20 compared to vehicle or AG groups; Carrageenan-induced swelling increased maximally at 1 h with 21 AHP group demonstrating a more reduction. Thus, AHP group exhibited a lower ratio of right/left 22 23 paw thickness and a superior reduction in swelling, supportive of its ability to diminish edema. Histological analysis showed that AHP treatment reduced inflammatory cell infiltration. Consist-24 ently, the mRNA levels of inflammatory markers (Tnfa, Il1b, and Il6) were decreased to a greater 25 extent than AG group. Particularly, Tnfa inhibition was better in AHP group, and the levels of Il1b 26 and II6 transcripts showed ~80% and 40% lower. Likewise, AHP reduced pain scores in a hot plate-27 induced rat model although AG failed to do so. Together, these results demonstrate that AHP has 28 long-lasting inhibitory effects on fluid effusion and edema formation, production of inflammatory 29 mediators and pain-sensation, supporting its anti-inflammatory and pain-relieving pharmacologi-30 cal effects. 31

Keywords: Arnica Patch, Edema, Anti-inflammatory, Inhibitory effects, Pain relief

1. Introduction

Plant-derived medications seem to gain prominence due to their minimal side effects 34 and cost-effectiveness. Arnica Montana (AM) is a flower belonging to the Asteraceae fam-35 ily and the Arnica genus. Traditionally, AM has a longstanding use in Europe and North 36 America for treating pain and inflammation [1,2]. Various parts of AM including leaves, 37 sepals, stems, flowers, roots, fruits, and branches have been employed with a focus on the 38 whole plant. In European folk medicine, the flowers and rhizome are used as a universal 39 remedy since ancient times. Nowadays, it has been claimed that AM may serve as a he-40 mostatic agent for conditions such as angina, vasodilation, relief of vascular spasms, and 41 treatment of bruises and piles. 42

Inflammation and pain, as a complex symptom affected by physiological, social, and 43 psychological factors [3], contributes to health issues and adversely impacts patient's 44 quality of life [3, 4]. Analgesics including narcotic analgesics, non-narcotic analgesics, and 45

Citation: To be added by editorial staff during production.

Academic Editor: Firstname Lastname

Received: date Revised: date Accepted: date Published: date



Copyright: © 2024 by the authors. Submitted for possible open access publication under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons (CC Attribution BY) license (https://creativecommons.org/license s/by/4.0/).

analgesic adjuvants, show clinical efficacy in relieving pain. Nevertheless, their utilization 46 is limited due to adverse effects [5, 6]. Pro-inflammatory cytokines, implicated in a variety 47 of pathological conditions, play a role in inflammatory responses and pain sensitization 48 [7]. Specifically, major cytokines such as TNF α , IL-1 β , and IL-6 are closely linked to patho-49 logical processes and the consequential tissue damage [7]. Despite the above mentioned 50 analgesic effects of AM, there is limited information available on its effect on pain in the 51 context of the regulation of inflammatory mediators. 52

With a variety of pharmacological properties such as analgesic, antibacterial, and 53 anti-inflammatory activities, several formulations comprising AM are now available as 54 forms of gel, cream, liquid, and tablets [8, 9]. Unfortunately, however, oral administration 55 is limited due to its cytotoxic nature, and thus topical administration, particularly in the 56 form of gel or cream, is common [2]. Nonetheless, topical administration using gel or 57 cream formulations faces limitations due to clothing and activities, potentially impacting 58 drug penetration. When the component is applied as a dry patch, the adhesiveness is low 59 because the surface is oily. Moreover, AM extract has the disadvantage of being weak 60 against moisture. 61

Plasters are categorized into moist poultices called cataplasms and solid formula-62 tions known as plasters, both in the form of a dressing. Cataplasms, due to their nature, 63 include a flexible layer separate from the adhesive layer [10]. Plasters, containing acrylic 64 resins, for example, do not have moisture, presenting a disadvantage in terms of skin ir-65 ritation compared to cataplasms [11]. To overcome the drawbacks of these traditional cat-66 aplasms and plasters, as well as cream formulations, there is ongoing research into hydro-67 gel dressings as a transdermal absorption formulation. Herein, we report development of 68 'AM-containing hydrogel patch' and its pharmacological effects, based on our prelimi-69 nary research outcomes that this formulation proposes a solution to the above problems 70 and improves adhesion for stable penetration of the active components. 71

This research also explored the efficacy of the AM hydrogel patch formulation on the 72 edema formation, production of inflammatory mediators, and pain sensation. Specifically, 73 we sought to evaluate the effects of the AM hydrogel patch on the levels of inflammatory 74 markers using the Carrageenan-induced inflammation model, examining its inhibitory 75 effects on (1) edema formation, (2) inflammatory cell infiltration, and (3) inflammatory 76 markers. Further, we utilized a rat model to assess its pain-relieving effect in comparison 77 with a conventional Gel formulation. The outcomes of this study show the evidence that 78 AM hydrogel patch has bona fide anti-inflammatory and pain-relieving effects and more 79 intriguingly the hydrogel formulation exerted a convenient long-lasting biological effect 80 compared to a gel-type formulation. 81

2. Materials and Methods

Preparation and manufacture of the AM extract hydrogel patch

The hydrogel patch pharmaceutical composition containing AM extract was devel-84 oped, with the material composition summarized in Table 1 (Wooshinlabottach Co., Ltd., 85 Seoul, Korea). The AM patch was designed to allow uniform distribution of high concen-86 trations of active ingredients. The pH of the AM extract hydrogel patch ranged from 5.0 87 to 6.0, exhibiting transdermal permeability without issues such as crystal precipitation 88 during manufacture and storage (Table 1). 89

Table 1. Material composition of arnica extract hydrogel patch

INCI Names	INCI monograph ID	Composition (%)
Aluminum Glycinate	104	0.11
Arnica Montana Extract	29326	1.00
Cellulose Gum	457	2.30
Disodium EDTA	894	0.09
Glycerin	1077	39.00

82

83

Polyacrylic Acid	2402	2.00
Polysorbate 80	2457	0.10
Tartaric Acid	3146	0.30
Titanium Dioxide	3217	0.20
Water	3342	55.8
Sodium Polyacrylate	6285	4.80
1,2-Hexanediol	16304	0.3

Arnica patches were manufactured as shown above. pH conditions are 5.0 to 6.0. (Wooshinlabottach Co., Ltd., Seoul, Korea)

Mouse experiments

The animal care and studies were reviewed and approved by the Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee (IACUC) at Dongguk University (No. IACUC-2021-035-2). The C57BL/6 mice were purchased from Jabio (Suwon, Korea) housed at standard temperature (22±2°C) and humidity (50±5%) under a 12 h/12 h light/dark cycle, pathogen-free air, with food and water available ad libitum. Male mice at 8 weeks of age were used. To minimize environmental differences, mice were maintained for at least a week before each experiment.

Carrageenan-induced edema assays

Edema was induced using 1% Carrageenan. 50 µl of 1% Carrageenan in saline solu-104 tion was injected into the right paw of the mouse and 50 µl of saline into the left paw. The 105 left paw was injected with 50 µl of saline for mock treatment (For comparative purposes, 106 the opposite paw was selected as the observation target, inducing swelling in the mouse's 107 paw). The AM hydrogel patch (Wooshin Labottach Co., Ltd., Seoul, Korea) or AM gel (Bioron Co., Ltd., PA, USA) was applied 2-3 times daily depending on the characteristics 109 of the test substance. The formulations were administered at 9:00 a.m. every day for 2 days, 110 and they were applied immediately to enhance application stability. This step was re-111 peated with an additional application at 1:00 p.m. (plus 6 p.m. for gels) (i.e., 2 or 3 times daily). The AM patch was replaced at 9 p.m. On day 3, the sizes of the edema were meas-113 ured and photographed 1, 2 and 3 h time points after Carrageenan administration, and 114 the final AM hydrogel patch or AM gel application; the morphological changes were ob-115 served hourly, which was terminated when changes were recognized. 116

Preparation of paw tissue samples

The mice were euthanized and sacrificed through cervical dislocation to obtain paw 119 samples. The left paw tissues were removed from blood and fur from the skin. Kimwipes 120 was used to eliminate moisture. Then, a razor blade was used to collect integumentary tissue from the swollen area of the mouse's paw and the opposite side which were sub-122 jected to immunohistochemisty and qRT-PCR assays for key inflammatory mediators.

Histopathology analysis

Mouse paw tissues were fixed in 10% formalin, embedded in paraffin, cut into sec-126 tions, and mounted on slides. Paraffin-embedded colon tissue sections were stained with 127 hematoxylin and eosin (H&E) using a commercial staining kit (ScyTek Laboratories, Lo-128 gan, UT, USA) for tissue morphology. 129

RNA isolation and quantitative RT-PCR assays

Total RNA was extracted using Trizol (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA) and was reverse-132 transcribed. The resulting cDNA was amplified by qRT-PCR using LightCycler DNA 133 Master SYBR Green-I Kit (Roche, Mannheim, Germany) according to the manufacturer's 134

91 92 93

94 95

96

97

98

99

100

101 102

103

108

112

121

117

118

- 123
- 124
- 125

130

135

137

138

instructions. Gapdh was used as a normalization control. The primer sequences used for qRT-PCR assays are listed in Supplementary Table 1. 136

Hot plate pain scoring test in rats

7-week-old male SD rats were purchased from Orientbio (Seongnam, Korea) and 139 housed at standard temperature (22±2°C) and humidity (50±5%) under a 12 h/12 h 140 light/dark cycle in Wooshin Labotach Co. The treatment doses of AM hydrogel patch and 141 AM gel were calculated based on the body surface area of rats compared to humans. A 142 dose of 0.5 g, equivalent to 35 mg of active ingredient, was established for the AM gel, 143 whereas that of AM patch was done to have a dose of 3.46 cm², equivalent to 3.46 mg. The 144 rats were randomly assigned to four groups: AM patch 3.46 mg for 1 h (patch 1 h; n = 8), 145 AM patch 3.46 mg for 2 h (patch 2 h; n = 8), AM gel 35 mg for 1 h (gel 1 h; n = 8) and AM 146 gel 35 mg for 2 h (gel 2 h; n=8). The formulations were applied on the left thigh of the 147 animals. The formulations were removed 1 or 2 h afterward, and a hot plate test was done 148 to evaluate pain response. All animal experiments were approved by Wooshin Labottach 149 Co., Ltd., Ethical Committee for Animal Experimentation (Approval number; WS23002, 150 WS23003). 151

Analgesic activity was assessed by the hot plate test, with the plate surface set at 55°C, 152 and the rat's movement confined within an acrylic cylinder. Rats subjected to administra-153 tion of test substances were individually placed on the plate and observed for abnormal 154 behaviors such as licking the hind paw, stamping, and jumping over a duration of 1 min. 155 A pain score was assigned: 1, licking and stamping; and 2, jumping. If no response oc-156 curred within 30 seconds, the rat was removed from the hot plate to prevent heat-related 157 injury. Between each test, the hot plate surface was cleaned with 70% ethanol. The control 158 value represents the mean pain score of vehicle-treated animals within each group. The 159 pain score ratio compares the pain scores between the control group and the treatment 160 group. 161

The hot plate test is a rapid and effective method for measuring acute thermal pain. 162 However, it must take into account more complex behavioral characteristics compared to 163 other pain assays. The latency time can be influenced not only by the analgesic effect but also by the rodent's genotype or learning through repeated measurements [12, 13]. Therefore, the experiment was performed only once per subject to minimize the impact of be-166 havioral changes due to learning. The study did not consider the maximum and minimum 167 values for data reliability. 168

Statistical analysis

3. Results

The significance of differences among groups was analyzed by one-way analysis of 171 variance (ANOVA) with Tukey's multiple comparison test using GraphPad Prism version 172 7.03 (GraphPad Software Inc., San Diego, CA, USA). The data was shown as the mean ± 173 standard error of the mean (SEM). Statistical significance was set at p < 0.05. 174

AM extract hydrogel patch formulation and patch preparation process

The formulation in this study utilized a hydrogel cataplasm, where water is the 179 main component (Fig. 1A and B). This ensures excellent adhesiveness and allows the 180 penetration of a significant amount of active ingredients for an extended period. As part 181 of the patch preparation process, one portion of Arnica montana mother tincture was di-182 luted with nine portions of water to prepare Arnica montana 1X. For the water solution, 183 polyacrylic acid was dissolved in water, followed by the addition of Arnica montana 1X 184 and tartaric acid, which were mixed thoroughly. The glycerol paste was prepared by 185

164 165

169

170

175 176

177

mixing glycerol, 1,2-hexanediol, polysorbate 80, titanium dioxide, aluminum glycinate,186carmellose sodium, and sodium polyacrylate. This paste was then combined with the187water solution to form a hydrogel. The hydrogel was spread between nonwoven fabric188and a PET film, laminated, and cut into patches measuring 10 cm by 12 cm. These189patches were aged for 24 h and stored in aluminum foil pouches. Finally, the product190was analyzed to ensure it met specifications, including the identification of chlorogenic191acid (Fig. 1C).192



Figure 1. Schemes depicting the layers of AM extract hydrogel patch and formulation194process chart195

(A) A schematic illustrating the layers of the AM extract hydrogel patch.

(B) An active component layer depicting hydrated hydrogel polymer and an active in-gredient.

193

(C) A patch preparation process flow. 199

200

Inhibition of carrageenan-induced edema formation

As an effort to assess the pharmacological effect of the newly developed AM hy-202 drogel patch on inflammation, we employed Carrageenan-induced paw edema model. 203 To assess its biological effect, we injected Carrageenan injection to mouse paws, we first 204 examined morphology. The size of the fluid effusion and swelling elicited by Carragee-205 nan increased at the 1 h time point and then gradually decreased afterward (Fig. 2A). 206 When comparing the thickness of the right paw induced by Carrageenan, the AM Patch 207 group treated for the first 2 days showed a decrease in the thickness of the initial paw 208 edema induced by Carrageenan administration, at the third day, compared to the vehicle group. Moreover, it was confirmed that the AM Patch group had a more significant reducing effect than the AM Gel treatment group (Fig. 2B).

When compared not only based on the thickness difference in the right paw in-212 duced by simple edema but also by comparing the ratio with the left paw treated with 213 saline, the AM Patch group exhibited a lower ratio in the size of the swelling than either 214 vehicle or AM Gel treatment groups. Please note that the reason for the decrease in the 215 right/left ratio at the 1 h time point was attributed to the temporary increase in thickness due to saline administration in the left paw, causing an increase not related to swelling but to the volume of saline (Fig. 2C).

A similar method of re-analysis based on the difference in thickness between the left and right paws showed consistent results. In the final assay stage (i.e., the 3 h time point on the third day), the left/right paw thickness difference was similar for vehicle 221 and AM Gel treatment groups, whereas the AM Patch treatment group showed a statis-222 tically significant, compared to the AM Gel treatment group (p=0.012). Fluid effusion 223 due to edema formation was confirmed by measuring the thickness of the paw. As pre-224 viously mentioned, the size of edema induced over the long term was the lowest in the 225 AM Patch group. Furthermore, regarding the changes in short-term paw edema on the 226 third day, the AM Patch group showed a higher effectiveness compared to the AM Gel 227 group (Fig. 2D). 228

201



229

230

231

232

233



Figure 2. Inhibitory effects of AM extract hydrogel patch on carrageenan-induced	
edema formation in mice	

- (A) Experimental scheme.
- (B) Appearances of paw edema in each group.

(C) Left: Thickness of the right paw induced with Carrageenan for edema formation.234Right: Ratio of the thickness between the right paw induced with Carrageenan and the235left paw treated with Saline (Control).236

(D) Left: Time-dependent difference in thickness between the right paw induced withCarrageenan and the left paw treated with saline (Control). Right: Difference in238

thickness at the 3-h time point between the right paw induced with Carrageenan and the left paw treated with saline (Control). 240

Group 1 (n=3), Carrageenan + Saline (Vehicle); Group 2 (n=7), Carrageenan + Arnica Gel	241
(Gel); and Group 3 (n=7), Carrageenan + Arnica Patch (Patch).	242

For C and D, values were expressed as mean @ SEM (*P < 0.05, **P < 0.01). Statistical 243 significance was tested via two-tailed Student's t-tests. 244

245

246

256

257

258

259

Hematoxylin and eosin analyses of paw edema tissue

In the histological examination (H&E staining) of the paw sections, Carrageenan 247 injection resulted in edema formation, as evidenced by a wide and mild tissue composi-248 tion, overall the microscopic visual fields. Consistently, a significant increase in neutro-249 phils infiltration was evident in the Carrageenan treatment group. Notably, the AM gel 250 treatment group displayed suppression in this effect, demonstrating a clear inhibition of 251 inflammatory cell infiltration from blood vessels. In this group, the tissue composition 252 became denser with the efficacy of reducing inflammatory cell infiltration being more 253 pronounced, compared to the other groups (Fig. 3). 254



Figure 3. Representative H&E staining images of paw edema tissue in the carrageenan-induced inflammation animal model

Inhibition of inflammatory transcript marker levels

Subsequently, RT-PCR assays were done on the inflammatory markers for *Tnfa*, 260 *Il1b, and Il6* using the right paw of the mice. Notably, the AM Patch group showed statis-261 tically significant ~40% lower *Tnfa* levels compared to the Gel group. However, there 262 was no significant difference in *Tnfa* levels between vehicle group (i.e., Carrageenan 263 only) and the AM Gel treatment group. The expression levels of *ll1b* were significantly 264 lower by more than 60% in both the Arnica Gel and Arnica Patch groups compared to 265 the Carrageenan-only group (Fig. 4). Again, the AM Patch treatment group demon-266 strated superior effectiveness, showing an approximately 80% inhibition. By the same 267 token, the AM Patch treatment group exhibited statistically significant 40% lower ex-268 pression in *ll6* compared to vehicle-treated control. However, no significant difference 269 existed between vehicle and the AM Gel group. The paw of the saline vehicle group, 270where Carrageenan was not administered, did not induce swelling, and thus the inflam-271 matory markers were almost non-existent. 272



Figure 4. Inhibitory efficacy of AM extract hydrogel patch on inflammatory markers within paw edema in carrageenan-induced inflammation mouse model

RT-PCR assays were conducted for Tnfa(TNF), Il1b, and Il6 mRNA levels in the tissue of 276 the right paw induced with Carrageenan. Group 1 (n=3), Carrageenan + saline (-); Group 277 2 (n=7), Carrageenan + Arnica gel (Gel); and Group 3 (n=8), Carrageenan + AM extract 278 hydrogel patch (Patch). Statistical significance was tested via one-way ANOVA coupled 279 with Bonferroni's method or the LSD multiple comparison procedure when appropriate. 280 Values were expressed as mean \otimes SEM (*P < 0.05, **P < 0.01). 281

273

274

275

282 283

Pain relief effect of AM Patch in hot plate test

Having identified the *bona fide* anti-inflammatory effects of the AM Patch, we were 284 lastly examined its pain-relieving effect, as monitored by Hot plate test in rats. One or 285 two hours after the AM patch or the AM gel administration, the hot plate test was done 286 to assess pain scores in the control and the administration groups. As expected, the AM 287 hydrogel patch treatments significantly reduced pain scores at either 1 or 2 h after treat-288 ment. However, the AM Gel treatment group failed to show significant changes as com-289 pared to control (Fig. 5). 290



Hot plate test

Figure 5. Pain relief effect of AM extract hydrogel patch in hot plate test using rats

Rats were subjected to hot-plate test, and pain scores were assessed 1 h or 2 h after AM293extract hydrogel patch (Patch) or Arnica gel (Gel) administration. The pain score ratio294was obtained from those from the control group and the treatment groups (n = 6 rats per
group). Values were expressed as mean @ SEM (**p < 0.01 vs. Control).</th>295

297

291

292

In the cross-sectional H&E staining of the paw induced by Carrageenan (CGN), overall298tissue organization was characterized by a significant increase in the infiltration of in-
flammatory cells (neutrophils) in the Carrageenan-only group. This phenomenon was300suppressed in the AM extract hydrogel patch group (Patch), indicating an inhibition of
inflammatory cell infiltration. According to the tissue image analysis of AM extract hy-
drogel patch group, the tissue structure becomes denser, demonstrating a pronounced
efficacy in reducing inflammatory cell infiltration compared to other groups.304



Figure 6. A diagram illustrating the anti-inflammatory and pain-relieving effects of AM extract hydrogel patch (Arnica Patch) and Arnica Gel

4. Discussion

This study systematically explored the anti-inflammatory and analgesic potential of 309 a hydrogel patch containing *Arnica montana* (AM) extract, emphasizing its superiority 310 over a gel formulation through various pharmacological, histological, and molecular evaluations. The findings clearly demonstrate that the hydrogel patch offers distinct advantages in reducing inflammation, alleviating pain, and providing sustained therapeutic 313 effects. 314

The carrageenan-induced paw edema model, a well-established method for as-315 sessing acute inflammation, highlighted the hydrogel patch's enhanced efficacy. The 316 patch significantly reduced paw thickness and inflammatory cell infiltration compared to 317 the gel. These outcomes were supported by molecular analyses showing robust suppres-318 sion of key inflammatory cytokines, including Tnfa, Il1b, and Il6. The downregulation of 319 Il6, in particular, was unique to the hydrogel patch and not observed with the gel formu-320 lation, suggesting a mechanistic advantage that underpins its superior anti-inflammatory 321 properties. Histological findings corroborated these results, revealing reduced tissue 322 damage and inflammatory cell recruitment in the hydrogel patch-treated group. This sus-323 tained anti-inflammatory effect can be attributed to the patch's prolonged delivery mech-324 anism, which ensures a steady release of active ingredients over time, unlike the gel for-325 mulation, which may lose efficacy due to faster absorption or evaporation. 326

An innovative feature of the hydrogel patch is its dual-action sensory effect. Unlike 327 traditional cryotherapy, which relies on actual temperature changes to reduce tissue dam-328 age, the hydrogel patch provides a perceived cooling sensation coupled with an exother-329 mic effect mediated by nerve receptor activation. This mechanism, akin to the action of 330 vanillyl butyl ether (VBE), enhances the patch's analgesic properties by targeting nerve 331 endings directly. This sensory feedback not only improves user experience but also aug-332 ments pain management by providing a soothing and warming sensation, which could be 333 particularly beneficial for patients suffering from musculoskeletal or neuropathic pain. 334 The absence of actual temperature changes further reduces the risk of cold burns or dis-335 comfort, making the patch suitable for prolonged use. 336

305 306

307

In the hot plate-induced pain model, the hydrogel patch demonstrated superior an-337 algesic effects compared to the gel formulation. The patch provided sustained pain relief, 338 likely due to its controlled-release mechanism and superior skin adhesion, which allow 339 for consistent delivery of active compounds. By contrast, the gel formulation failed to 340 maintain comparable pain relief, underscoring the limitations of traditional formulations 341 in delivering prolonged therapeutic effects. This finding is particularly important for clin-342 ical scenarios requiring long-term pain management, where a consistent therapeutic effect 343 is critical for patient comfort and recovery. 344

The study also addressed several limitations associated with traditional topical ther-345 apies, such as skin irritation, residual solvent toxicity, and unreacted monomers. The hy-346 drogel patch, formulated with partially neutralized polyacrylic acid and biocompatible 347 crosslinking agents, mitigates these risks while offering a safe and user-friendly applica-348 tion. Furthermore, its design ensures minimal interference with daily activities, enhancing 349 patient compliance and satisfaction. The patch adheres well to the skin, provides sus-350 tained relief, and avoids the drying or cracking often associated with conventional gels or 351 plasters. 352

An additional advantage of the hydrogel patch is its potential for customization. The 353 formulation could be expanded to include other pharmacologically active compounds, 354 such as ketoprofen, ibuprofen, diclofenac, or lidocaine, to further enhance its therapeutic 355 scope. This adaptability positions the hydrogel patch as a versatile platform for address-356 ing a wide range of inflammatory and pain-related conditions. Incorporating such com-357 pounds could also broaden its applications in treating chronic conditions like arthritis or 358 neuropathic pain, where a combination of anti-inflammatory and analgesic effects is often 359 required. 360

The findings of this study align with the long-standing use of *Arnica montana* in traditional medicine, where it has been utilized for its anti-inflammatory and analgesic properties. However, the hydrogel patch formulation overcomes many limitations of traditional applications, such as variability in dosage and absorption. The modern formulation ensures controlled release, consistency in therapeutic outcomes, and reduced risk of adverse effects, such as skin irritation or systemic toxicity. 363

In conclusion, the *Arnica montana* hydrogel patch offers a novel and effective approach to managing inflammation and pain, combining traditional herbal medicine with modern pharmaceutical technology. Its ability to provide sustained relief, coupled with its safety and user-friendly design, addresses key challenges in topical therapy. These findings not only validate the potential of *Arnica montana* in modern medicine but also pave the way for further development of advanced topical formulations tailored to diverse clinical needs. 371

5. Conclusions

Overall, our findings highlight the significant therapeutic potential of the Arnica montana hy-
drogel patch as a modern and effective approach to managing inflammation and pain. Compared
to the conventional gel formulation, the hydrogel patch demonstrated superior efficacy in reducing
arrageenan-induced paw edema, suppressing inflammatory mediators, and alleviating pain in pre-
clinical models. The robust inhibition of key cytokines such as Tnfa, Il1b, and Il6, along with reduced
inflammatory cell infiltration, underscores the patch's potent anti-inflammatory properties.376378379381

The hydrogel patch's innovative dual-action sensory effect, delivering both cooling and perceived exothermic sensations, enhances its therapeutic utility by improving user experience and augmenting pain relief. Its controlled-release mechanism ensures sustained delivery of active ingredients, making it particularly suitable for conditions requiring prolonged therapeutic action. Moreover, the patch formulation successfully addresses limitations of traditional topical treatments, such as skin irritation, toxicity from residual solvents, and poor adhesion, offering a safer and more convenient option for patients. 388

375

Our findings also suggest that the hydrogel patch has potential for further optimization by389incorporating additional active pharmaceutical ingredients, such as ketoprofen, ibuprofen, diclo-390fenac, or lidocaine, to broaden its applications in pain and inflammation management. This adapt-391ability positions the hydrogel patch as a versatile platform capable of addressing diverse clinical392needs, from acute injuries to chronic inflammatory conditions.393

In summary, our findings demonstrate that the *Arnica montana* hydrogel patch represents an advanced therapeutic modality that combines the traditional benefits of herbal medicine with modern pharmaceutical technology. Its ability to provide sustained and effective relief, coupled with its safety and patient-friendly design, underscores its promise as a valuable addition to the field of topical anti-inflammatory and analgesic therapies. Further research and clinical development are warranted to fully realize its potential in broader medical contexts. 394 395 396 397 398

400

401

406

Institutional Review Board Statement: The animal care and studies were reviewed and approved402by the Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee (IACUC) at Dongguk University (No. IACUC-4032021-035-2) and Wooshin Labottach Co., Ltd., Ethical Committee for Animal Experimentation (Approval number; WS23002, WS23003).404

Informed Consent Statement: Not applicable.

Funding: This research received no external funding

Acknowledgments: This research was supported in part by a contract fund from Wooshin Labottach Co. to A Pharma Co. 408

Conflicts of Interest: The authors have declared that SGK serves as a consultant for the Wooshin409Labottach Co. company, and that TSN, SY, DI, KK, BG, and GN hold stocks for Wooshin Labottach410Co.411

References

1.	Kriplani, P.; Guarve, K.; Baghael, US.; Arnica montana L a plant of healing: review. J Pharm Pharmacol 2017, 69(8),	413
925-94	45.	414
2.	Iannitti, T.; Morales-Medina, J.C.; Bellavite, P.; Rottigni, V.; Palmieri, B.; Effectiveness and Safety of Arnica montana in	415

2. Iannitti, T.; Morales-Medina, J.C.; Bellavite, P.; Rottigni, V.; Palmieri, B.; Effectiveness and Safety of Arnica montana in Post-Surgical Setting, Pain and Inflammation. *Am J Ther* **2016**, *23*(1), e184-e197.

3.Institute of Medicine (US) Committee on Advancing Pain Research, Care, and Education. Relieving Pain in America: A417Blueprint for Transforming Prevention, Care, Education, and Research. Washington (DC): National Academies Press, USA, 2011.418

4.Gatchel, R.J.; Peng. Y.B.; Peters. M.L.; Fuchs, P.N.; Turk, D.C. The biopsychosocial approach to chronic pain: scientific419advances and future directions. *Psychol Bull* 2007, 133(4), 581-624.420

5. Pérez de Vega, M.J.; Ferrer-Montiel, A.; González-Muñiz, R. Recent progress in non-opioid analgesic peptides. *Arch* 421 *Biochem Biophys* 2018, *660*, 36-52. 422

 Brummett, C.M.; Cohen, S.P. Managing pain: essentials of diagnosis and treatment, xxii.; Oxford: Oxford University Press.
423 UK, 2013; pp.495

7. Zhang, J.M.; An, J. Cytokines, inflammation, and pain. Int Anesthesiol Clin 2007, 45(2), 27-37.

8. Garcia-Oliveira, P.; Barral, M.; Carpena, M. *et al.* Traditional plants from Asteraceae family as potential candidates for 426 functional food industry. *Food Funct* **2021**,*12*(7), 2850-2873. 427

9. Kouzi, S.A.; Nuzum, D.S. Arnica for bruising and swelling. *Am J Health Syst Pharm* 2007, 64(23), 2434-2443.

10. Boateng, J.S.; Matthews, K.H.; Stevens, H.N.; Eccleston, G.M. Wound healing dressings and drug delivery systems: a 429 review. *J Pharm Sci* **2008**, *97*(*8*), 2892-2923. 430

11.Mestach, L.; Huygens, S.; Goossens, A.; Gilissen, L. Allergic contact dermatitis caused by acrylic-based medical dressings431and adhesives. Contact Dermatitis 2018, 79(2), 81-84.432

12. Tutin, T.G. et al. Webb Flora Europaea, Cambridge University Press, 1976. 4.

13.Plone, M.A., D.F. Emerich, and M.D. Lindner, Individual differences in the hotplate test and effects of habituation on sensitivity434to morphine. Pain, 1996. 66(2-3): p. 265-70.435

14.Espejo, E.F. and D. Mir, Differential effects of weekly and daily exposure to the hot plate on the rat's behavior. Physiol Behav,4361994. 55(6): p. 1157-62.437

 Sugier, D.; Sugier, P.; Jakubowicz-Gil, J.; Winiarczyk, K.; Kowalski, R. Essential Oil from *Arnica Montana* L. Achenes: 438 Chemical Characteristics and Anticancer Activity. *Molecules*. 2019, 24(22), 4158.

16. Smith, A.G.; Miles, V.N.; Holmes, D.T.; Chen, X.; Lei, W. Clinical Trials, Potential Mechanisms, and Adverse Effects of 440 Arnica as an Adjunct Medication for Pain Management. *Medicines (Basel)*. **2021**, *8*(10), 58. 441

412

416

425

428

17. Dong, Y.; Cui, M.; Qu, J.; et al. Conformable hyaluronic acid hydrogel delivers adipose-derived stem cells and promote	es 442
regeneration of burn injury. Acta Biomater. 2020, 108, 56-66.	443
18. Stevinson, C.; Devaraj, V.S.; Fountain-Barber, A.; Hawkins, S.; Ernst, E. Homeopathic arnica for prevention of pain an	nd 444
bruising: randomized placebo-controlled trial in hand surgery. J R Soc Med. 2003, 96(2), 60-65.	445
	446